arXiv:1504.04486v2 [math.FA] 14 Oct 2015

On Some Dissimilarities Between Banach Algebras and Banach Algebras with Bicomplex Scalars

Romesh Kumar and Kulbir Singh

Abstract In this paper we discuss the maximal ideals in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$ and in general the maximal ideals in a bicomplex algebra. Unlike, what happens in the complex case, the kernel of a non-zero multiplicative linear functional in a commutative bicomplex Banach algebra need not be a maximal ideal. Further, we show that the approximate point spectrum of a bounded operator is not contained in the point spectrum. We also note that the famous Gelfand-Mazur theorem does not hold for a division bicomplex Banach algebra.

Keywords Bicomplex modules, hyperbolic-valued norm, maximal ideals, bounded $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operators, approximate point spectrum.

1 Inroduction and Preliminaries

Bicomlex numbers are being studied for a long time. In the last several years, the theory of bicomplex numbers has enjoyed a renewed interest and bicomplex functional analysis have been studied in [1], [2], [4], [8] and references therein. Due to the presence of zero divisiors in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$, there is a deep difference in several properties of bicomplex algebras and the algebra with complex scalars. For instance, the spectrum of a bounded operator on a Banach $\mathbb{BC}$-module is unbounded (see [2, Corollary 3.11]). In this paper we also find some contrast and dissimilarities in the study of algebra with bicomplex scalars.

In this section we introduce the bicomplex numbers and summarizes a number of known results on the algebra of bicomplex numbers. The set of bicomplex numbers is defined as

$$\mathbb{BC} = \{ \, Z = z + jw \mid z, \ w \in \mathbb{C}(i) \, \} , \tag{1.1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30G35, 47A10, 47A60.
The research of the second author is supported by CSIR-UGC (NEW-DELHI, INDIA).

where i and j be two imaginary units such that $ij = ji$, $i^2 = j^2 = -1$ and $\mathbb{C}(i)$ is the set of complex numbers with the imaginary unit i. The set $\mathbb{BC}$ turns out to be a ring with respect to the sum and multiplication defined by

$$Z + U = (z + jw) + (u + jv) = (z + u) + j(w + v) \ ,$$
$$ZU = (z + jw)(u + jv) = (zu - wv) + j(wu + zv)$$

and thus it turns out to be a module over itself. In (1.1), if $z = x$ is real and $w = iy$ is a purely imaginary number with $ij = k$, then we obtain the set of hyperbolic numbers

$$\mathbb{D} = \left\{x + ky : k^2 = 1 \text{ and } x,\ y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } k \notin \mathbb{R}\right\}.$$

As there are two imaginary units in $\mathbb{BC}$, three different conjugations can be defined on $\mathbb{BC}$:

(i) $Z^{\dagger_1} = \overline{z} + j\overline{w}$,

(ii) $Z^{\dagger_2} = z - jw$,

(iii) $Z^{\dagger_3} = \overline{z} - j\overline{w}$,

where $Z = z + jw \in \mathbb{BC}$ and $\overline{z},\ \overline{w}$ denote the usual complex conjugates to $z,\ w$ in $\mathbb{C}(i)$. The algebra $\mathbb{BC}$ is not a division algebra, since one can see that if $e_1 = \frac{1+ij}{2}$ and $e_2 = \frac{1-ij}{2}$, then $e_1.\ e_2 = 0$, i.e., e_1 and e_2 are zero divisors. The numbers $e_1,\ e_2$ are mutually complementary idempotent components. They make up the so called idempotent basis of bicomplex numbers. Thus, every bicomplex number $Z = z + jw$ can be written in a unique way as:

$$Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2 \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where $z_1 = z - iw$ and $z_2 = z + iw$ are elements of $\mathbb{C}(i)$. Formula (1.2) is called the idempotent representation of a bicomplex number Z. A bicomplex number $Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2$ is said to be invertible if and only if $z_1 \neq 0$ and $z_2 \neq 0$. A nonzero Z that does not have an inverse has the property that either $z_1 = 0$ or $z_2 = 0$. Such a Z is called a divisor of zero. Thus all zero divisors in $\mathbb{BC}$ are of the form: $Z = \lambda(1 \pm ij)$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(i)$ and we denote the set of zero divisors by $\mathcal{NC}$. Further, $e_1,\ e_2$ are hyperbolic numbers. Thus any hyperbolic number $\alpha = x + ky$ can be written as

$$\alpha = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2,$$

where $\alpha_1 = x + y$ and $\alpha_2 = x - y$ are real numbers. The set of non-negative hyperbolic numbers is given by

$$\mathbb{D}^+ = \{\alpha = e_1\alpha_1 + e_2\alpha_2 \mid \alpha_1,\ \alpha_2\ \geq 0\,\}\,.$$

For any $\alpha,\ \beta \in \mathbb{D}$, we write $\alpha \leq' \beta$ whenever $\beta - \alpha \in \mathbb{D}^+$ and it defines a partial order on $\mathbb{D}$. For more details see [1, Section 1.5].

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathbb{BC}$-module. Then we can write (see, [1], [4], [13])

$$\mathcal{A} = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{A}_2 \ , \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_1 = e_1\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = e_2\mathcal{A}$ are complex-linear spaces as well as $\mathbb{BC}$-modules. Thus, any x in $\mathcal{A}$ can be uniquely written as $x = e_1x_1 + e_2x_2$ with $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \ x_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$.

Assume that $\mathcal{A}_1, \ \mathcal{A}_2$ are normed spaces with respective norms $\|.\|_1, \ \|.\|_2$. For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|e_1x_1 + e_2x_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|x\|_1 + e_2\|x_2\|_2 \ . \tag{1.4}$$

Then $\|.\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ defines a hyperbolic ($\mathbb{D}$-valued) norm on $\mathbb{BC}$-module $\mathcal{A}$. For more details see, [1, Section 4.2].
Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|.\|_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{BC}$-module. Then $\mathcal{A} = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{A}_2$ is a Banach $\mathbb{BC}$-module with respect to a $\mathbb{D}$-valued norm if and only if $\mathcal{A}_1, \ \mathcal{A}_2$ are complex Banach spaces (see [6, Theorem 3.5]).

Definition 1.2. (See [7], [9].) A bicomplex algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a module over $\mathbb{BC}$ with a multiplication defined on it which satisfy the following properties :

(i) $x(y + z) = xy + xz$

(ii) $(x + y)z = xy + yz$

(iii) $x(yz) = (xy)z$

(iv) $\lambda(xy) = (\lambda x)y = x(\lambda y)$

for all $x, \ y, \ z \in \mathcal{A}$ and all scalars $\lambda \in \mathbb{BC}$.

Definition 1.3. (See [7], [9].) A bicomplex algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with a $\mathbb{D}$-valued norm $\|.\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ relative to which $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach module and such that for every $x, \ y$ in $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\|xy\|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq' \|x\|_{\mathbb{D}}\|y\|_{\mathbb{D}}$$

is called a $\mathbb{D}$-normed bicomplex Banach algebra.

Further, one can show that if $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-normed bicomplex Banach algebra and let $\mathcal{A} = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{A}_2$ be its idempotent decomposition. Then $\mathcal{A}_1, \ \mathcal{A}_2$ are complex Banach algebras. For details on bicomplex analysis and various properties of bicomplex Banach algebras, one can refer to [1], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [13], and references therein.
The plan of the paper is as follows: In section 2 we discuss the maximal ideals in the ring of bicomplex numbers. In section 3 we give the complete characterization of maximal ideals in the bicomplex algebra. In section 4, we study some properties of the spectrum of bounded operator. Further, we calculate the spectrum of an element in a bicomplex division algebra. We conclude each section with some important remarks and examples which brings some contrast in the theory of bicomlex analysis to the classical ones.

2 Maximal ideals in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$

In this section we describe the homomorphism in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$ and hence we discuss the maximal ideals in $\mathbb{BC}$ by using the fundamental theorem of ring homomorphism. As it is well known that $1, 0, e_1, e_2$ are only four idempotent elements in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$. Further, if $f : \mathbb{BC} \to \mathbb{BC}$ is a ring homomorphism then an idempotent must map to an idempotent element. Thus the possible bicomplex ring homomorphisms are given as follows:

(i) $f(Z) = Z$, $\forall\ Z \in \mathbb{BC}$, i.e., the identity homomorphism. In this case $Kerf = \{0\}$, that is, the zero ideal which is a trivial ideal in $\mathbb{BC}$. Moreover, if $f(Z) = Z^{\dagger_1}$ or $Z^{\dagger_2}$ or $Z^{\dagger_3}$, then again f is a ring homomorphism with $Kerf = \{0\}$.

(ii) $f(Z) = 0$, $\forall\ Z \in \mathbb{BC}$, i.e., the zero homomorphism. Here $Kerf = \mathbb{BC}$ which is also a trivial ideal in $\mathbb{BC}$.

(iii) Define $f(Z) = e_1 Z\ (\,= e_2 Z)$, $\forall\ Z \in \mathbb{BC}$. Then f is a ring homomorphism with $Kerf = e_2\mathbb{BC}\ (\,= e_1\mathbb{BC}$ respectively) which is a proper (non-trivial) ideal in $\mathbb{BC}$.

Thus in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$, $\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{BC}$ itself are two trivial ideals, where as $e_1\mathbb{BC}$ and $e_2\mathbb{BC}$ are two proper ideals. Next we will show that the two proper ideals in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$ are the maximal ideals.
Let $I_1 = e_1\mathbb{BC}$ and $I_2 = e_2\mathbb{BC}$ be two proper ideals in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$. Consider the quotient set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{BC}/I_1 &= \{I_1 + Z \mid Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2 \in \mathbb{BC}\}\\ &= \{I_1 + e_2 z_2 \mid Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2 \in \mathbb{BC}\}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2, W = e_1 w_1 + e_2 w_2 \in \mathbb{BC}$, define addition and multiplication on $\mathbb{BC}/I_1$ as

$$(I_1 + Z) + (I_1 + W) = I_1 + (Z + W) = I_1 + e_2(z_2 + w_2),$$

$$(I_1 + Z)(I_1 + W) = I_1 + (ZW) = I_1 + e_2(z_2 w_2).$$

Then it is easy to show that $\mathbb{BC}/I_1$ is a commutative ring with unity $I_1 + e_2$. Now for any $Z = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2 \in \mathbb{BC}$ with $z_2 \neq 0$, $I_1 + e_2 z_2$ is a nonzero element in $\mathbb{BC}/I_1$. Also $z_2 \in \mathbb{C}(i)$, there exist some $w \in \mathbb{C}(i)$ such that $z_2 w = w z_2 = 1$. Thus $I_1 + e_2 w \in \mathbb{BC}/I_1$ such that $(I_1 + e_2 w)(I_1 + e_2 z_2) = (I_1 + e_2 z_2)(I_1 + e_2 w) = I_1 + e_2$. Hence $\mathbb{BC}/I_1$ is a field.
Since it is well known that,
"In a commutative ring R with unity, an ideal M is maximal in R iff R/M is a field", we find that I_1 is a maximal ideal in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$. Similarly, I_2 is also maximal ideal in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$.
Aliter : I_1, I_2 are maximal ideals in $\mathbb{BC}$ can also be proved by using the fundamental theorem of ring homomorphism.

Define $f : \mathbb{BC} \to \mathbb{C}(i)$ as $f(Z) = f(z + jw) = z + iw$. Clearly f is an onto ring homomorphism. Thus by fundamental theorem of ring homomorphism,

$$\mathbb{BC}/Kerf \cong \mathbb{C}(i).$$

Now for any $Z \in \mathbb{BC}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z = z + jw \in Kerf &\Leftrightarrow f(Z) = f(z + jw) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow z + iw = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow Z = z + jiz \\ &\Leftrightarrow Z \in I_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Kerf = I_1$. Further, $\mathbb{BC}/Kerf \cong \mathbb{C}(i)$, so, $\mathbb{BC}/Kerf$ will be a field. Hence I_1 is a maximal ideal in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$.
Similarly on defining a ring homomorphism $f : \mathbb{BC} \to \mathbb{C}(i)$ by

$$f(Z) = f(z + jw) = z - iw,$$

we find I_2 is maximal ideal in the ring $\mathbb{BC}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-normed bicomplex Banach algebra. A $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional f on $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be multiplicative if:

(i) $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$, $\forall\ x,\ y \in \mathcal{A}$ and

(ii) $f(e) = 1$, where e is the identity element of $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark 2.2. In a commutative bicomplex Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with multiplicative identity e, for every nonzero multiplicative $\mathbb{BC}$-linear functional $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{BC}$, $Kerf$ need not be a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$.

Example 2.3. Consider a commutative bicomplex Banach algebra $\mathbb{BC}$ with multiplicative identity 1. Define $f : \mathbb{BC} \to \mathbb{BC}$ by $f(Z) = Z$. Then $kerf = \{0\}$. But $\{0\}$ is not a maximal ideal in $\mathbb{BC}$ as it properly contained in I_1 and I_2.

Thus there does not exist any one-to-one correspondance between nonzero multiplicative linear functionals and maximal ideals of bicomplex Banach algebra.

Remark 2.4. In a unital Banach algebra with complex scalars, a proper ideal does not contain an invertible element. But in a unital bicomplex Banach algebra, a proper ideal may contain an invertible element.

Example 2.5. Consider the bicomplex Banach algebra $\mathbb{BC}$ with multiplicative identity 1. Then $I_1 = e_1\mathbb{BC}$ is a proper ideal in $\mathbb{BC}$. Further, all the elements in I_1 are invertible elements in I_1 with respect to the multiplicative identity e_1. For this, let $e_1Z = e_1(e_1z_1 + e_2z_2) = e_1z_1 \in I_1$ with $z_1 \neq 0$. Since $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}(i)$, there exist some $w \in \mathbb{C}(i)$ such that $z_1w = wz_1 = 1$. Thus $e_1w \in I_1$ with $e_1w.e_1z_1 = e_1z_1.e_1w = e_1$. However, e_1Z is not invertible in $\mathbb{BC}$.

3 Maximal ideals in a bicomplex algebra

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a bicomplex algebra. Using the idempotent decomposition, we can write $\mathcal{A} = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{A}_2$, where $\mathcal{A}_1 = e_1\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = e_2\mathcal{A}$ forms an algebra over $\mathbb{C}(i)$. Clearly $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are ideals in a bicomplex algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Thus there will always exist two proper ideals in a bicomplex algebra $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$. In this section we first characterize the maximal ideals in $\mathcal{A} = C(X, \mathbb{BC})$ and then we give the complete characterization of maximal ideals in a bicomplex algebra. The following example for the space $C(X, \mathbb{C}(i))$ of all continuous, complex-valued functions on X is given in [10, P. 125].

Example 3.1. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $\mathcal{A} = C(X, \mathbb{BC})$ denotes the space of all continuous, bicomplex-valued functions on X under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a bicomplex algebra. For each $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$, define $f_l = e_l f$ as $f_l(x) = (e_l f)(x) = e_l(f(x))$, $l = 1, 2$. Let $\mathcal{A}_l = \{f_l : f \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_l$ forms an algebra of continuous functions on X. Thus we can write $\mathcal{A} = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{A}_2$.

Define $\mathcal{M}_x = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \,:\, f(x) = 0\}$, for some fixed $x \in X$. Then it is easy to show that $\mathcal{M}_x$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. We now characterize the maximal ideals in $\mathcal{A}$. Ideals of the form $\{e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{M}_x\}$ or $\{e_1\mathcal{M}_y + e_2\mathcal{A}_2\}$, for some fixed $x,\ y \in X$ are maximal in a bicomplex algebra $\mathcal{A} = C(X, \mathbb{BC})$. Suppose $I = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{M}_x$ for some fixed x is not maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. Then I will contained in some proper ideal J of $\mathcal{A}$. Further, J must be of the form $e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2 J_2$, where J_2 is proper ideal in $e_2\mathcal{A}_2$. Hence for each $x \in X$, we may find $e_2 f \in J_2$ such that $e_2 f(x) \neq 0$. Since $e_2 f$ is continuous, there is an open set O containing x with $e_2 f(y) \neq 0, \forall y \in O$. Associating to each $x \in X$ with such a function f and open set O, we get an open cover of the compact set X. Thus, we may find a finite number of points $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ with corresponding functions $e_2 f_n$ and open sets O_n covering X such that $e_2 f_n(y) \neq 0, \forall\, y \in O_n$, for $n = 1,\ 2, \ldots, m$. Define $h = \sum_{n=1}^{m} e_2 f_n (e_2 f_n)^{\dagger_3} = e_2 \sum_{n=1}^{m} f_n (f_n)^{\dagger_3}$. Then $h \in J_2$ and h is positive on X. Thus h is invertible in J_2 implies that $J_2 = e_2\mathcal{A}_2$ which is a contradiction. Similarly, for some fixed $y \in X$, $e_1\mathcal{M}_y + e_2\mathcal{A}_2$ is also maximal in $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 3.2. *All maximal ideals in a bicomplex algebra $\mathcal{A}$ have the form*

$$\{e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2 I_2 \,:\, I_2 \text{ is maximal ideal in } \mathcal{A}_2\} \tag{3.1}$$

or

$$\{e_1 I_1 + e_2\mathcal{A}_2 \,:\, I_1 \text{ is maximal ideal in } \mathcal{A}_1\}\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Suppose $I = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2 I_2$ is not maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, where I_2 is maximal in $\mathcal{A}_2$. Then I will contained properly in some another proper ideal J of $\mathcal{A}$. Clearly J must be of the form $e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2 J_2$, where J_2 is an ideal in $\mathcal{A}_2$ containing I_2 which is a contradiction to the maximality of I_2. Hence ideals of the form (3.1) and (3.2) are maximal in $\mathcal{A}$. Further, it is easy to show that if I is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, then I will be of the form (3.1) or (3.2). If possible, suppose I is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ which is not of the above form. Using the idempotent decomposition, we can write $I = e_1 I_1 + e_2 I_2$. If any one of I_1 or I_2 is zero, then I will contained either in A_1 or A_2 and so I cannot be maximal. Suppose $I_1 \neq 0$ and $I_2 \neq 0$. Then again I will be contained either in (3.1) or (3.2). $\square$

4 Approximate point spectrum of $\mathbb{D}$-bounded linear operators

In this section we define the approximate point spectrum of $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operators and discuss its relation with the point spectrum. Further, we discuss the invariant subspace problem for bounded $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operator.

Let V be a Banach $\mathbb{BC}$-module with respect to $\mathbb{D}$-valued norm. Let $T : V \to V$ be a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operator on V. The idempotent decomposition of the operator T is given as (see, [2, Proposition 3.2])

$$T = e_1 T_1 + e_2 T_2 \ , \tag{4.1}$$

where $T_l : e_l V \to e_l V$ for $l = 1, 2$ are the linear operators. The set $\mathcal{B}(V)$ of all $\mathbb{D}$-bounded $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operators acting on V forms a $\mathbb{BC}$-module. For each $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$, norm on $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ (see [1]) is defined as follows:

$$\|T\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \sup\left\{\|T(x)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \mid x \in V,\ \|x\|_{\mathbb{D}} <' 1\right\}.$$

Note that this norm is a hyperbolic norm on T. Hence, we can write

$$\|T\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1 \|T_1\|_1 + e_2 \|T_2\|_2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\|.\|_1$ and $\|.\|_2$ define the usual norms on T_1 and T_2 respectively. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{B}(V)$ with the hyperbolic norm is a Banach $\mathbb{BC}$-module.
In [2, Theorem 3.10], the point spectrum $\sigma_p(T)$ of any operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ is given by

$$\sigma_p(T) = (e_1 \sigma_p(T_1) + e_2 \mathbb{C}(i)) \cup (e_1 \mathbb{C}(i) + e_2 \sigma_p(T_2)). \tag{4.3}$$

We now define the approximate point spectrum $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ of T.

Definition 4.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{BC}$. Then λ is said to be in approximate point spectrum of T if there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in V such that

$$\|x_n\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 1, \forall\ n \text{ and } \|(T - \lambda I)(x_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ and let $T = e_1 T_1 + e_2 T_2$ be its idempotent decomposition. Then*

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) = e_1 \sigma_{ap}(T_1) + e_2 \sigma_{ap}(T_2). \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ such that $\lambda = e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2$, where $\lambda_l \in \mathbb{C}(i)$, $l = 1, 2$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in V such that $\|x_n\|_{\mathbb{D}} = 1, \forall\, n$ and $\|(T - \lambda I)(x_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \to 0$. By using the idempotent decomposition, we obtain $x_n = e_1 x_{n1} + e_2 x_{n2}$ such that $\|x_n\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|x_{n1}\|_1 + e_2\|x_{n2}\|_2$ which implies $\|x_{n1}\|_1 = 1$ and $\|x_{n2}\|_2 = 1$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}(T - \lambda I)(x_n) &= [(e_1T_1 + e_2T_2) - (e_1\lambda_1 I_1 + e_2\lambda_2 I_2)](e_1x_{n1} + e_2x_{n2})\\ &= e_1(T_1 - e_1\lambda_1 I_1)(x_{n1}) + e_2(T_2 - e_2\lambda_2 I_2)(x_{n2}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|(T - \lambda I)(x_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \to 0$ implies $\|(T_l - e_l\lambda_l I_l)(x_{nl})\|_l \to 0,\ l = 1,\ 2$. This means that $e_1\lambda_1 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_1)$ and $e_2\lambda_2 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_2)$. So, we have

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) \subseteq e_1\sigma_{ap}(T_1) + e_2\sigma_{ap}(T_2).$$

The opposite inclusion follows by an analogous reasoning and hence the equality (4.4) holds. □

Remark 4.3. For a complex linear operator T on a complex Banach space, $\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}(T)$. Also if $\lambda \notin \sigma_{ap}(T)$, then $\ker(T - \lambda I) = 0$. But for a $\mathbb{BC}$-linear operator T over a $\mathbb{BC}$-Banach module, the point spectrum of T need not be contained in approximate point spectrum. Further, if $\lambda \notin \sigma_{ap}(T)$, then $\ker(T - \lambda I)$ may contain some nonzero elements.

Example 4.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$. Choose $\lambda \in \mathbb{BC}$ such that $\lambda = e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2$ with $\lambda_1 \in \sigma_p(T_1)$ and $\lambda_2 \notin \sigma_{ap}(T_2)$. Then by Theorem 4.2, $\lambda \notin \sigma_{ap}(T)$. Also $\lambda_1 \in \sigma_p(T_1)$ and $\lambda_2 \in e_2\mathbb{C}(i)$ and thus by using (4.3), $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$. Further, $\lambda_1 \in \sigma_p(T_1)$, $\ker(T_1 - \lambda_1 I_1) \neq 0$. Hence $\ker(T - \lambda I) \neq 0$.

Definition 4.5. An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{BC}$ is said to be a bicomplex division algebra if every nonzero element in $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible.

Remark 4.6. Note that a bicomplex division algebra $\mathcal{A}$ will always be of the form $e_1\mathcal{A}$ or $e_2\mathcal{A}$. That is, the elements in $\mathcal{A}$ will either the multiple of e_1 or multiple of e_2 but not of both. If possible, suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a bicomplex division algebra such that $\mathcal{A} = e_1\mathcal{A}_1 + e_2\mathcal{A}_2$, where A_1 and A_2 can be seen as algebras over $\mathbb{C}(i)$. Now for any $0 \neq x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $0 \in \mathcal{A}_2$, $e_1x_1 \in \mathcal{A}$. But e_1x_1 is a zero divisor in $\mathcal{A}$ so cannot be invertible in $\mathcal{A}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ cannot be a division algebra.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be bicomplex division algebra and $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\sigma_{(x)}$ is unbounded.*

Proof. By using Remark 4.6, we have $\mathcal{A} = e_l\mathcal{A}$ with multiplicative identity e_l, $l = 1,\ 2$. Let $\mathcal{A} = e_1\mathcal{A}$ and $x \in e_1\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{(x)} &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{BC} \mid x - \lambda e_1 \text{ is not invertible in } e_1\mathcal{A}\}\\ &= \{e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{BC} \mid x - (e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2)e_1 \text{ is not invertible in } e_1\mathcal{A}\}\\ &= \{e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{BC} \mid x - \lambda_1 e_1 \text{ is not invertible in } e_1\mathcal{A}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_{(x)}$ depends upon only λ_1 while λ_2 can be any number in $\mathbb{C}(i)$. Thus

$$\sigma_{(x)} = \{e_1\lambda_1 + e_2\mathbb{C}(i) \mid x - \lambda_1 e_1 \text{ is not invertible in } e_1\mathcal{A}\}.$$

Similarly if $x \in e_2\mathcal{A}$, then

$$\sigma_{(x)} = \{e_1\mathbb{C}(i) + e_2\lambda_2 \mid x - \lambda_2 e_2 \text{ is not invertible in } e_2\mathcal{A}\}.$$

□

Remark 4.8. In a division algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over complex scalars, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $\sigma_{(x)}$ contains only one element say λ_x. Thus the mapping $x \to \lambda_x$ is an isometric isomorphism. Moreover, it is unique. Such a mapping will never exist in case of bicomplex division algebra as the spectrum of each element is unbounded. Further, if there exist some another isometric isomorphism f from bicomplex division algebra $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathbb{C}(i)$, then by linearity $f(e_1x) = e_1f(x)$, for $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{A}$ which is not possible as $f(e_1x) \in \mathbb{C}(i)$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ can not be isometrically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(i)$. Hence the Gelfand-Mazur Theorem does not hold for bicomplex division Banach algebra.

Remark 4.9. It is well known that the invariant subspace problem is one of the most important problem. In this context, it is natural to look for invariant subspace problem for such kind of hypercomplex numbers. Since in a $\mathbb{BC}$-Banach module V, e_1V is a closed proper subspace of V. Further, for $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$, $T(e_1V) = e_1T(V) \subseteq e_1V$. Thus every bounded linear operator on a $\mathbb{BC}$-Banach module has a **non-trivial invariant subspace**.

References

[1] D. Alpay, M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro and D. C. Struppa, *Basics of Functional Analysis with Bicomplex scalars, and Bicomplex Schur Analysis*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, 2014.

[2] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini and D. C. Struppa, *Bicomplex holomorphic functional calculus*, Math. Nachr. **287**, No. 10 (2014), 1093-1105.

[3] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd Edition, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

[4] R. Gervais Lavoie, L. Marchildon and D. Rochon, *Infinite-dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **1**, No. 2 (2010), 75-91.

[5] R. Kumar, R. Kumar and D. Rochon, *The fundamental theorems in the framework of bicomplex topological modules*, (2011), arXiv:1109.3424v1.

[6] R. Kumar and K. Singh, *Bicomplex linear operators on bicomplex Hilbert spaces and Littlewood's subordination theorem*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. DOI 10.1007, (2015).

[7] R. Kumar, K. Singh, H. Saini and S. Kumar, *Bicomplex weighted Hardy spaces and bicomplex C*-algebras*, (2015), arXiv:1195247.

[8] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, C. O. Perez-Regalado and M. Shapiro, *On linear functionals and Hahn-Banach theorems for hyperbolic and bicomplex modules*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **24**, (2014), 1105-1129.

[9] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, C. O. Perez-Regalado and M. Shapiro, *On the bicomplex Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko theorem*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory DOI 10.1007, (2015).

[10] B. D. MacCluer, *Elementary Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York, 2009.

[11] G. B. Price, *An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions*, 3rd Edition, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

[12] D. Rochon and M. Shapiro, *On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers*, Anal. Univ. Oradea, Fasc. Math. **11** (2004), 71-110.

[13] D. Rochon and S. Tremblay, *Bicomplex Quantum Mechanics II: The Hilbert Space*, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, **16** No. 2 (2006), 135-157.

Romesh Kumar, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *romesh_jammu@yahoo.com*

Kulbir Singh, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *singhkulbir03@yahoo.com*